

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

ROSA VALDIVIA *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

TOWNSHIP OF HILLSBOROUGH  
POLICE *et al.*,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 23-3660 (MAS) (RLS)

**ORDER**


This matter comes before the Court upon pro se Plaintiff Rosa Valdivia's ("Plaintiff") application to proceed *in forma pauperis* ("IFP") pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915. (ECF No. 7.) The Court previously denied pro se Plaintiffs Rosa Valdivia, Eudoxia M. Valdivia, and RosaMaria F. Valdivia's application (submitted twice) (ECF Nos. 4, 5) to proceed *in forma pauperis* ("IFP") pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915 because the application contained blanks. (ECF No. 6.)

Plaintiff timely filed a revised IFP on behalf of herself. Plaintiff has again failed to follow the instructions, which require applicants to "[c]omplete all questions in [the] application and then sign it. Do not leave any blanks: if the answer to a question is '0,' 'none,' or 'not applicable (N/A),' write that response." Here, Plaintiff's application contains blanks. (*See* ECF No. 7 at 1-4.) Accordingly,

**IT IS**, on this 4th day of January 2024, **ORDERED** as follows:

1. Plaintiff's IFP application (ECF No. 7) is **DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**.
2. The Clerk shall close this matter.

3. By **February 5, 2023**, Plaintiffs may submit a new IFP application for each Plaintiff listed. Alternatively, by **February 5, 2023**, Plaintiffs may submit the \$402 filing fee, and the Clerk will reopen the matter.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**MICHAEL A. SHIPP**  
**UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**